UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,535 | 12/14/2006 | Nicolas Ibrahim | W51.12-0022 | 5993 |
| | 7590 02/03/201 HAMPLIN & KELLY, | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 1400 | | | KHAN, MEHMOOD B | |
| 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2617 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/03/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,535 | IBRAHIM, NICOLAS | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on | the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|--|--|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>16 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI | CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar this application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods: | lies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thromay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | 11th 07 OFD 44 07 march by filed with in two march a stable data of |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within temporary. | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior | r to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because |
| (a) 🔲 They raise new issues that would require further considerat | tion and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspond | • • |
| NOTE: <u>The amendments to the independent claims change consideration.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | ge the scope of all the claims, thus requiring further search and |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See | attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). | if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-27.</u> | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffici- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ent reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we | e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | , |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the request for reconsideration has been considered but does in the reconsideration has been considered by the reconsideration has been conside | NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SI 13. Other: | B/08) Paper No(s) |
| /LESTER KINCAID/ | /M D K / |
| 0 | /M. B. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617 |
| | Examino, Alt Offit 2017 |
| | |